



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,018	12/12/2003	Matthew Kenneth Gracie	56704.000109	2322
27682 7590 08/14/2008 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT RIVERFRONT PLAZA, EAST TOWER 951 EAST BYRD ST. RICHMOND, VA 23219-4074				
EXAMINER BOSWELL, BETH V				
ART UNIT 3623		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 08/14/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/735,018

Applicant(s)

GRACIE ET AL.

Examiner

Beth V. Boswell

Art Unit

3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ms. Beth V. Boswell (Supervisory Patent Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Greg Murphy (Reg. No. 52,494). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Antell et al. (US 2004/0123162).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and Applicant explained the crux of the invention, specifically the importance of determining from the overall question set a subset of questions sequenced in a right order. Further, the expected versus unexpected answer was discussed. Examiner suggested adding further detail concerning how the determination of the subset was performed. Examiner awaits action by Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth Boswell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.